

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,683	01/04/2002	Robert S. Brayton	COMP:0270 P01-3944	8294
7:	590 05/20/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION LEGAL DEPARTMENT, M/S 35 P.O. BOX 272400 FT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			BASEHOAR, ADAM L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2178	
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	10/037,683	BRAYTON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Adam L Basehoar	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ja	nuary 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da' 5) Notice of Informal Pa' 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/037,683 Page 2

Art Unit: 2178

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: The Amendment filed 01/31/05 to the original Application filed on 01/04/02.

- 2. Claims 1-20 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kahn et al (Hereafter Kahn)(US-2002/0069204 06/06/02).
- 3. Claims 21-25 have been added as necessitated by Amendment.
- 4. Claims 1-25 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 8, and 16 are independent claims.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 1-20, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kahn et al (Hereafter Kahn)(US-2002/0069204 06/06/02).
- -In regard to independent claim 1, Kahn teaches a method for serving dynamic information through a network interface by:

serving a Web page (Fig. 3: 302) comprising a source call to a data file (Fig. 3: 304);

Application/Control Number: 10/037,683

Art Unit: 2178

generating the data file from dynamic data in real-time (Fig. 3: 306)(page 1: 0005); and populating the Web page with the data file in real-time based on the source call (Fig. 3: 312).

-In regard to dependent claim 2, Kahn teaches wherein the act of serving the Web page comprises the act of retrieving the Web page from a file system (database)(page 1: 0006 & page 2: 0023) comprising a plurality of Web pages in a markup language (HTML)(page 2: 0024 & page 3: 0026).

-In regard to dependent claim 3, Kahn teaches generating the Web page in a markup language (HTML) compatible with a scripting language for the source call (page 3: 0027)(Fig. 6A-B).

-In regard to dependent claim 4, Kahn teaches generating the Web page independently from the data file by retrieving from a database (page 1: 0006 & page 2: 0023).

-In regard to dependent claim 5, Kahn teaches the act of independently providing dynamic data in real-time using data variables (page 1: 0006) in the data file (page 3: 0027).

-In regard to dependent claim 6, Kahn teaches the act of creating the data file as an object file (page 3: 0027)(Fig. 6A-B) for accessing the dynamic data (page 2: 0023).

Application/Control Number: 10/037,683

Art Unit: 2178

-In regard to dependent claim 7, Kahn teaches the act of merging the data file with the Web page template and displaying the result via a Web browser (Fig. 3: 312 & 314)

-In regard to independent claim 8, Kahn teaches a method providing a call to a dynamic data file (Fig. 3: 304 & 306) in a Web page written in a standard markup language (HTML)(page 2: 0024 & page 3: 0026);

accessing dynamic data and creating the dynamic data file (Fig. 3: 306, 308, 310) in real-time (page 1: 0005) independently of the Web page; and

merging dynamic data in the dynamic data file with the Web Page based on the call (Fig. 3: 312 & 314).

-In regard to dependent claim 9, Kahn teaches using a scripting language to execute the call (page 3: 0027)(Fig. 6A-B).

-In regard to dependent claim 10, Kahn teaches wherein the dynamic data file comprises a scripting language file (Fig. 6A-B).

-In regard to dependent claim 11, Kahn teaches transmitting the Web page from a server to a remote browser (Fig. 3: 300 & 302);

evaluating the call at the remote browser (Fig. 3: 304); and

transmitting a data request from the remote browser to the server based on the call within the Web page (Fig. 3: 304 & 306).

-In regard to dependent claim 12, Kahn teaches comprising the act of responding to the data request at the server by performing the act of accessing data and creating the dynamic data file (Fig. 3: 306, 308, 310), and by further performing the act of transmitting the dynamic data file to the remote browser from merging with the Web page (Fig. 3: 310, 312, 314).

-In regard to dependent claim 13, Kahn teaches the act of populating the Web page at the remote browser (Fig. 3: 312 & 314).

-In regard to dependent claim 14, Kahn teaches the act of responding to a client request for the Web page (Fig. 3: 300 & 302).

-In regard to dependent claim 15, Kahn teaches the act of populating the Web page with the dynamic data in the dynamic data file to form a populated Web page at the server (page 1: 0006 & page 3: 0028); and

transmitting the populated Web page to the remote client (Fig. 1A:130) via a network (Fig 1A: 128).

-In regard to independent claim 16, Kahn teaches a dynamic data server comprising: a web server (Fig. 1A: 110);

a file system adapted to store dynamic data and web pages for the web server (page 4: 0044);

Application/Control Number: 10/037,683

Art Unit: 2178

a dynamic web page stored on the file system (Fig. 3: 302), wherein the dynamic web page comprises a call for a file in a scripting language (Fig. 3: 304)(Fig. 6A-B);

a call analysis module adapted to identify dynamic data desired by the call (Fig. 3: 306)(page 3: 0027);

a real-time data collection module adapted to retrieve the dynamic data identified by the call analysis module and to generate the file (Fig. 3: 308);; and

a real-time data population module adapted to merge the dynamic data in the file with the dynamic Web page (Fig. 3: 310, 312, 314).

-In regard to dependent claim 17, Kahn teaches wherein the dynamic Web page was written in an Internet markup language (HTML)(page 2: 0024 & page 3: 0026).

-In regard to dependent claim 18, Kahn teaches wherein the real-time data population module was executable by a Web-browser (Fig. 3: 312 & 314).

-In regard to dependent claim 19, Kahn teaches wherein the real-time data population module comprises a scripting function disposed in the file (page 3: 0027)(Fig. 3: 304, 312, 314)(Fig. 6A-B).

-In regard to dependent claim 20, Kahn teaches a real-time data transmission module adapted to serve the file (content and edit buttons) (Fig. 3: 312) separately from the dynamic Web page (page 4: 0044).

-In regard to dependent claims 22 and 24, Kahn teaches wherein the dynamic data (Page 4: 0044-0046: "Managed content is stored in a database 112 or other file structure, such as a file system") was indicative of a status (i.e. current value of managed dynamic content) of a remote headless (i.e. lacking user interaction devices such as a monitor, keyboard, or mouse) computer system (Fig. 1A: Server 110) and being able to remotely manage (Page 4: 0046: "The CP 108 also provides....selecting and updating content") the headless computer system (Fig. 1A: Server 110) based on the dynamic data (i.e. by selecting and updating the dynamic content).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 21, 23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kahn (Hereafter Kahn)(US-2002/0069204 06/06/02).

-In regard to dependent claims 21, 23, and 25, Kahn teaches that dynamic web pages display time-variant and user dependent information, wherein the information and layout displayed was dependent on who they were accessed by (Page 1: 0005). Kahn does not specifically teach localizing a language of the Web page. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention for the "user profile" (Page 1: 0006) of Kahn, used to determine the dynamic web page to be displayed, to have included a preferred language

Art Unit: 2178

identifier for localizing the dynamic web page language to the user, because Kahn teaches dynamic pages provide the benefit of presenting to a user complete web page customization such as personalized mailboxes or displaying his or her favorite news topics and it would have obvious to be able to provide to a user dynamic content in the language of his or her choice so as to allow the user the benefit of being able to understand his or her desired information.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01/31/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

-In regard to independent claim 1, Applicant argues that Kahn fails to teach or suggest generating the data file from dynamic data in real-time; and populating the Web page with the data file. The examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant and believes the Kahn reference does indeed teach said limitations. Kahn clearly teaches receiving dynamic content in real-time (Page 1: 0005) from a pre-existing database or file system (Page 4: 0044: "Managed content is stored in a database 112 or other file structure, such as a file system") to be populated into a dynamic web page (Fig. 3: 310 & 312). The examiner notes that as claimed, the scope of "generating the data file" is deemed equivalent to selecting dynamic content from a file system in real-time, wherein the act of selecting "generates" dynamic content (i.e. a file) to be populated into an existing Web page. The Examiner believes that generating the data file is not equivalent to creating an intermediate file as argued by applicant.

-In regard to independent claim 8, Applicant argues that Kahn fails to teach or suggest accessing dynamic data and creating the dynamic data file in real-time independently of the Web page; and merging dynamic data in the dynamic data file into the Web page. As discussed above in the rejection of independent claim 1, the examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant and believes the Kahn reference does indeed teach said limitations. Kahn clearly teaches receiving dynamic content in real-time (Page 1: 0005) from a pre-existing database or file system (Page 4: 0044: "Managed content is stored in a database 112 or other file structure, such as a file system") to be populated into a dynamic web page (Fig. 3: 310 & 312). The examiner notes that as claimed, the scope of "generating the data file" is deemed equivalent to selecting dynamic content from a file system in real-time, wherein the act of selecting "generates" dynamic content (i.e. a file) to be populated into an existing Web page. The Examiner believes that generating the data file is not equivalent to creating an intermediate file as argued by applicant.

In regard to independent claim 16, Applicant argues that Kahn fails to teach of suggest a data collection module adapted to retrieve in real time the dynamic data identified by the call analysis module and to generate the file as the data was retrieved; and a data population module adapted to merge in real time the dynamic data in the file with the dynamic Web page. As discussed above in the rejection of independent claims 1 and 8, the examiner respectfully disagrees with Applicant and believes the Kahn reference does indeed teach said limitations. Kahn clearly teaches receiving dynamic content in real-time (Page 1: 0005) from a pre-existing database or file system (Page 4: 0044: "Managed content is stored in a database 112 or other file structure, such as a file system") to be populated into a dynamic web page (Fig. 3: 310 & 312). The examiner notes that as claimed, the scope of "generating the data file" is deemed equivalent

Art Unit: 2178

to selecting dynamic content from a file system in real-time, wherein the act of selecting "generates" dynamic content (i.e. a file) to be populated into an existing Web page. The Examiner believes that generating the data file is not equivalent to creating an intermediate file as argued by applicant.

The examiner also wishes to point out that as claimed, the generation of the data file in real time could simply be a template stored on the server populated with dynamic data. Wherein the step of populating the web page with the data file could be the process of rendering the template by the user browser.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,683 Page 11

Art Unit: 2178

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US-6,385,592

05/07/02

Angles et al.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam L Basehoar whose telephone number is (571)-272-4121. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Hong can be reached on (703) 308-5465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**ALB** 

STEPHEN HONG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER